

# **How To Conduct High-Quality Research and Manage A Research Group?**

**C.-C. Jay Kuo**  
**Ming Hsieh Chair Professor**  
**University of Southern California**

# Part I: How to Conduct High-Quality Research?

# Motivation is the Key

- Why should I do MS or PhD?
  - ◆ Internal drive
    - ◆ Research interest (curiosity, sense of achievement/fulfillment)
    - ◆ Strong ambition (self-expectation)
  - ◆ External drive
    - ◆ Degree and diploma
    - ◆ Parents, teachers, friends
    - ◆ Peer pressure (sense of honor and responsibility)
    - ◆ Small success

# Problem Selection

- Good research largely depends on the selected problem
  - ◆ A good problem is difficult to find
    - ◆ Not too easy or too difficult
- How to select a problem?
  - ◆ Is it an old problem or a new problem?
    - ◆ Usually, new problems have more opportunities
  - ◆ Is it a significant problem?
    - ◆ Practically important yet technically challenging



# More about Ambition

- Principle of “aim high, accept low”
- Use problem selection as example
  - ◆ Aim high
    - ◆ Do not patch a small hole left by leading researchers
    - ◆ Find a more fundamental problem which may have a long impact
  - ◆ Accept low
    - ◆ If it is difficult to find a fundamental problem, then we need a compromise
    - ◆ Advice from professor is important

# Literature Survey

- Use tools
  - ◆ Trace backward
    - ◆ Tutorial paper and reference list
  - ◆ Trace forward
    - ◆ Use Google scholar to find papers that cite the current work
- Proactive vs. passive reading
  - ◆ Reading with a critical attitude
  - ◆ Reading according to your own agenda
  - ◆ Reading between lines (not only what was said but what was not said)
- Form a study group

# Nurturing Good Taste

- There are many mediocre papers published
  - ◆ Do not ruin your taste by poor-quality papers
- Read selectively
  - ◆ Highly cited papers and papers from first-tier journals and top-ranked conferences
- Classification of papers
  - ◆ Type A: 80% understanding (main idea, solution method and main results)
  - ◆ Type B: 50% understanding (idea & results)
  - ◆ Type C: 20% understanding (only introduction)
- Learn to appreciate good papers and criticize poor papers

# Monitoring Activities of Leading Research Group in Your Field

- Identify leading research groups in your field
- Find out their recent research focus

# Research Environment

- Large group can be a blessing
  - ◆ More resourceful in terms of interaction (now) and networking (future)
- Senior students can be very helpful to junior students
  - ◆ Experience sharing & encouragements
  - ◆ More tolerant to mistakes
  - ◆ More accessible
- Good versus bad environments
- Each group has its own culture
  - ◆ Building a nice group culture is rewarding

# Guidance and Feedback

## ■ Role of Advisor

- ◆ Joint decision on problem selection
- ◆ Set up the research standard
- ◆ Help when students get stuck
  - ◆ Find out why
  - ◆ Re-directing
- ◆ Feedback on research results
  - ◆ Positive and negative feedback
- ◆ Help in oral presentation and written reports

# Oral Presentation

- Preparation of the ppt file
- Logical flow of motivation/ideas/results
- Fluent English language capability
- Practice, practice and practice



# Paper Writing

- Critical to the sale of your ideas/results
- Paper organization
  - ◆ Proper arrangement of texts, figures and tables
- Multi-pass writing style
  - ◆ 1<sup>st</sup> pass: Detailed outline
  - ◆ 2<sup>nd</sup> pass: Rapid writing
  - ◆ 3<sup>rd</sup> pass: Fine-tuning
  - ◆ 4<sup>th</sup> pass: cross-reading



# Plagiarism

- A severe problem
- Intentionally and un-intentionally
  - ◆ Need to tell students a proper way to cite and paraphrase

# Part II: How to Manage A Research Group

# Big versus Small Groups

## ■ Small groups

- ◆ Pro: More opportunities to interact with advisor
- ◆ Con: Limited peer interaction

## ■ Large groups

- ◆ Con: Fewer opportunities to interact with advisor
- ◆ Pro:
  - ◆ More peer interaction (inter-personal skill)
  - ◆ Broader perspectives on research field (diversity)
  - ◆ More friends in future career development

# My Experience in Early Years

- My experience at MIT
  - ◆ Little supervision from MS and PhD advisors
  - ◆ Little interaction with peers
  - ◆ Little management observed
- My early years at USC
  - ◆ First 5-6 years (ad hoc style)
  - ◆ When the no. of group members goes beyond 10
    - ◆ Seeking a better management system
  - ◆ How to reach today's status?
    - ◆ 20 PhD students
    - ◆ About 5 students graduating per year

# Report and Feedback (1)

- Weekly report system
  - ◆ The origin of the weekly report system
  - ◆ The practice
    - ◆ Due every Thursday night
    - ◆ Read and returned on Friday afternoon during subgroup meetings
    - ◆ A synchronization and diagnosis tool

# Report and Feedback (2)

- Weekly report format
  - ◆ Tasks achieved last week
  - ◆ Tasks to be done next week
  - ◆ Feedback and interaction
  - ◆ Reports
  - ◆ Milestones

# Goal Set-up, Planning and Execution

- Long-term goals (6-12 months) are set up
  - ◆ Screening, qual, defense exams
  - ◆ Conference/journal papers due dates
  - ◆ Deliverables for sponsored projects
- Milestones are established and revised
  - ◆ Schedules are set according to the goals
  - ◆ Periodic review of progress towards to these goals
  - ◆ Milestones revision may be needed

# Group Dynamics and Interaction (1)

- Group level
  - ◆ Group weekly seminar
    - ◆ Friday noon: 12:30-1 and 1-2
  - ◆ Group website
    - ◆ Internet and intranet
  - ◆ Thanksgiving luncheon and other events
- Subgroup level
  - ◆ Subgroup meetings
  - ◆ Informal discussions among special interest groups (SIGs)
  - ◆ Talk rehearsals



# Group Dynamics and Interaction (2)

- Personal level
  - ◆ One-to-one professor-student meeting
  - ◆ Mentor system
    - ◆ Every junior student has a senior student as mentor
- Support from Alumni
  - ◆ Many graduates still contribute to the mentoring and research co-supervision of students

# Role Modeling

- Building an atmosphere of a big family
- Building core values
  - ◆ Team spirit (accepting and giving help)
  - ◆ Hard-working spirit
  - ◆ Openness to diversified research topics
  - ◆ High standards
    - ◆ Both technical and ethical

# External Collaboration

- Collaborators
  - ◆ Group Alumni
  - ◆ Faculty in other universities and USC
  - ◆ Industrial partners
  - ◆ Weekly report & conference calls
- Key driving force to different new research areas

# Education That Goes Beyond Research

- An Educator role
  - ◆ Teacher, Friend, Senior (father or big brother) and Shepherd
- 40-minute sharing per week (before the group seminar) about various topics
  - ◆ How to do research
  - ◆ How to find a job
  - ◆ Technology trends
  - ◆ Observations from trips & conferences
  - ◆ How to handle stress and disappointment
- More than technology
  - ◆ From academic value to working attitude
  - ◆ From working attitude to perspectives on life

# Example 1: Learning Management Skills Early

- Two skills not taught (but caught) in universities
  - ◆ Management
  - ◆ Sale and marketing
- About management skills
  - ◆ Resources management
    - ◆ Time, search tools, e-mails, faculty, student peers, etc.
  - ◆ Objectives management
    - ◆ Importance vs urgency
  - ◆ Planning is needed to match objectives and resources

# Example 2: Sales and Marketing Skills

- Sales is essentially related to your presentation skills and networking
  - ◆ Paper writing
  - ◆ Oral presentation
  - ◆ Poster presentation
  - ◆ Proposal writing
  - ◆ Making friends and building networks
- Marketing skills
  - ◆ Finding new opportunities in funding and research directions
    - ◆ Blue ocean versus red ocean
  - ◆ Resource is limited -> seek the possible biggest impact

# Conclusion

- Build a group culture
  - ◆ Consistency, transparency, fairness
  - ◆ Encouragement yet with discipline
- Demand an eco-system
  - ◆ Funding source
  - ◆ Job opportunities
- Demand determination and commitment
  - ◆ A system could be too demanding on the leader if implemented by mimicking
    - ◆ Local adaptation is needed
  - ◆ Where to get the energy to run the system
    - ◆ A genuine love to research and students



# Two References

- H. T. Kung, “Useful things to know about PhD thesis research,” 1987 October.
- Ronald T. Azuma, “A graduate school survival guide” 1997 (original) and 2000 (revised)